

# $S$ -parts of terms of integer linear recurrence sequences

Yann Bugeaud and Jan-Hendrik Evertse

**Abstract.** Let  $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$  be a finite, non-empty set of distinct prime numbers. For a non-zero integer  $m$ , write  $m = q_1^{r_1} \dots q_s^{r_s} M$ , where  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  are non-negative integers and  $M$  is an integer relatively prime to  $q_1 \dots q_s$ . We define the  $S$ -part  $[m]_S$  of  $m$  by  $[m]_S := q_1^{r_1} \dots q_s^{r_s}$ . Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a linear recurrence sequence of integers. Under certain necessary conditions, we establish that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $n_0$  such that  $[u_n]_S \leq |u_n|^\varepsilon$  holds for  $n > n_0$ . Our proof is ineffective in the sense that it does not give an explicit value for  $n_0$ . Under various assumptions on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , we also give effective, but weaker, upper bounds for  $[u_n]_S$  of the form  $|u_n|^{1-c}$ , where  $c$  is positive and depends only on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and  $S$ .

## 1. Introduction and results

Let  $k$  be a positive integer, and let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  and  $u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}$  be integers such that  $a_k$  is non-zero and  $u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}$  are not all zero. Put

$$u_n = a_1 u_{n-1} + \dots + a_k u_{n-k}, \quad \text{for } n \geq k. \quad (1.1)$$

The sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is a linear recurrence sequence of integers of order  $k$ . Its characteristic polynomial

$$G(X) := X^k - a_1 X^{k-1} - \dots - a_k$$

can be written as

$$G(X) = \prod_{i=1}^t (X - \alpha_i)^{\ell_i},$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$  are distinct algebraic numbers and  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_t$  are positive integers. It is then well-known (see e.g. Chapter C in [11]) that there exist polynomials  $f_1(X), \dots, f_t(X)$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 11B37, 11J86, 11J87. *Key Words*: Recurrence sequence, Diophantine equation, Baker's method.

of degrees less than  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_t$ , respectively, and with coefficients in the algebraic number field  $K := \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$ , such that

$$u_n = f_1(n)\alpha_1^n + \dots + f_t(n)\alpha_t^n, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

The recurrence sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is said to be *degenerate* if there are integers  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq t$  such that  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  is a root of unity.

We keep the above notation throughout the present paper. The case  $t = 1$ , that is, of sequences  $(f(n)a^n)_{n \geq 0}$  where  $f(X)$  is an integer polynomial and  $a$  a non-zero integer, can be treated using the work of [4, 1]. Thus, in all what follows, we assume that  $t \geq 2$ , the polynomials  $f_1(X), \dots, f_t(X)$  are non-zero, and  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is non-degenerate.

By means of a  $p$ -adic generalization of the Thue–Siegel theorem, Mahler [6] proved that every non-degenerate binary recurrence sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  tends in absolute value to infinity as  $n$  tends to infinity. This was extended to every non-degenerate recurrence sequence by van der Poorten and Schlickewei [9] and, independently, Evertse [2]. They proved the following stronger result. For an integer  $m$ , let  $P[m]$  denote its greatest prime factor, with the convention that  $P[0] = P[\pm 1] = 1$ . By means of a  $p$ -adic version of the Schmidt Subspace Theorem, they established that  $P[u_n]$  tends to infinity as  $n$  tends to infinity.

This result is ineffective, but an effective version of it was proved by Stewart [12, 13], under an additional assumption. Without loss of generality, assume that

$$|\alpha_1| \geq |\alpha_2| \geq \dots \geq |\alpha_t| > 0.$$

Then, if  $|\alpha_1| > |\alpha_2|$  (this assumption is often called the *dominant root assumption*) and  $u_n \neq f_1(n)\alpha_1^n$ , there are positive numbers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , which are effectively computable in terms  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  (which exactly means, in terms of  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  and  $u_0, \dots, u_{k-1}$ ), such that

$$P[u_n] > c_1 \log n \frac{\log \log n}{\log \log \log n}, \quad \text{for } n > c_2; \quad (1.3)$$

see also [14, 15] for stronger results for binary recurrence sequences.

In the present note, we investigate the following related problem. Let  $S = \{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$  be a finite, non-empty set of distinct prime numbers. For a non-zero integer  $m$ , write  $m = q_1^{r_1} \dots q_s^{r_s} M$ , where  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  are non-negative integers and  $M$  is an integer relatively prime to  $q_1 \dots q_s$ . We define the  $S$ -part  $[m]_S$  of  $m$  by

$$[m]_S := q_1^{r_1} \dots q_s^{r_s}.$$

We ask for a non-trivial upper bound for the  $S$ -part of the  $n$ -th term of a non-degenerate recurrence sequence of integers.

A first result on this question was obtained by Mahler [7] in 1966 for a special family of binary recurrence sequences. We keep the above notation and assume that  $k = 2$ ,  $a_2 \leq -2$ ,  $-4a_2 > a_1^2$ , and that  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are coprime. By means of a  $p$ -adic extension of the Roth theorem established by Ridout [10], Mahler showed that, if  $n$  is large enough, then we have

$[u_n]_S < |u_n|^\varepsilon$ . He observed that his result implies that  $P[u_n]$  tends to infinity as  $n$  tends to infinity, a statement which was new at that time.

Before stating our first theorem, which extends Mahler's result to every non-degenerate recurrence sequence of integers, we need to introduce some additional notation. Choose embeddings of  $K$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  and of  $K$  in  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , for every prime  $p$ . These embeddings define extensions to  $K$  of the ordinary absolute value  $|\cdot|$  and of the  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$  for every prime  $p$ , normalized such that  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$ . Define the quantity

$$\delta := -\frac{\sum_{p \in S} \log \max\{|\alpha_1|_p, \dots, |\alpha_t|_p\}}{\log \max\{|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_t|\}}. \quad (1.4)$$

By our assumptions on the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$  are algebraic integers which are not all roots of unity and whose product is a non-zero rational integer. Therefore,  $\max_i |\alpha_i| > 1$ , and  $\max_i |\alpha_i|_p \leq 1$  for  $p \in S$ . Hence,  $\delta$  is well-defined and  $\delta \geq 0$ . Furthermore, we observe that  $\delta < 1$  since  $t \geq 2$ . Indeed, letting  $A := \max_i |\alpha_i|$ ,  $A_p := \max_i |\alpha_i|_p$  for  $p \in S$  and  $a := \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_t$ , we have

$$(1 - \delta) \log A = \log A + \sum_{p \in S} \log A_p \geq t^{-1} (\log |a| + \sum_{p \in S} \log |a|_p) \geq 0,$$

where both inequality signs are equality signs if and only if  $|\alpha_1|_p = \dots = |\alpha_t|_p$  for  $p \in S \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $|\alpha_1|_p = \dots = |\alpha_t|_p = 1$  for all prime numbers  $p$  outside  $S$ , that is, if all quotients  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  are roots of unity, which is against our assumption. So the left-hand side of the above inequality is  $> 0$ , thus  $\delta < 1$ .

Our first result is an easy consequence of work of Evertse, see e.g., [2], Theorem 2, or Proposition 6.2.1 of [3].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a non-degenerate recurrence sequence of integers defined in (1.1). Let  $S := \{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$  be a finite, non-empty set of prime numbers, and  $\delta$  be as in (1.4). Further, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for every sufficiently large  $n$  we have*

$$|u_n|^{\delta - \varepsilon} \leq [u_n]_S \leq |u_n|^{\delta + \varepsilon}. \quad (1.5)$$

*In particular, if  $\gcd(q_1 \cdots q_s, a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$ , we have for every sufficiently large  $n$ ,*

$$[u_n]_S \leq |u_n|^\varepsilon.$$

Observe that the assumption  $\gcd(q_1 \cdots q_s, a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$  implies that  $\delta = 0$ . Indeed, suppose  $\delta > 0$ . Then, there is a prime number  $p$  in  $S$  such that  $\max_i |\alpha_i|_p < 1$ . Since  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are up to sign the elementary symmetric functions in the  $\alpha_i$  taken  $\ell_i$  times, we must then have  $|a_i|_p < 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . This is clearly impossible.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 depends ultimately on the  $p$ -adic Schmidt Subspace Theorem, therefore we cannot compute the set of  $n$  for which (1.5) does not hold. It seems to be difficult to bound its cardinality, even by means of the strongest available versions of the quantitative Subspace Theorem.

Our main effective theorem is the following.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a non-degenerate recurrence sequence of integers having a dominant root. Let  $S$  be a finite, non-empty set of prime numbers. Then, there exist effectively computable positive numbers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , depending only on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and  $S$ , such that*

$$[u_n]_S \leq |u_n|^{1-c_1},$$

for every  $n \geq c_2$ .

Removing the dominant root assumption seems to be very difficult. However, this can be done for non-degenerate binary recurrence sequences of integers.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a non-degenerate binary recurrence sequence of integers. Assume that  $u_n = a\alpha^n + b\beta^n$  for  $n \geq 0$ , with  $ab\alpha\beta \neq 0$ . Let  $S$  be a finite, non-empty set of prime numbers. Then, there exist effectively computable positive numbers  $c_1$ , depending only on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , and  $c_2$ , depending only on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and  $S$ , such that*

$$[u_n]_S \leq |u_n|^{1-c_1}, \quad \text{for every } n \geq c_2.$$

We stress that, in Theorem 1.3, the number  $c_1$  is independent of the set  $S$  of prime numbers. Clearly, when  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are complex conjugates, there is no dominant root.

Our next statement is a  $p$ -adic analogue to Theorem 1.2.

Let  $p$  be a prime number. We say that the recurrence sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  as in (1.2) has a  $p$ -adic dominant root if there exists  $j$  such that  $1 \leq j \leq t$  and  $|\alpha_j|_p = 1$ , while  $|\alpha_i|_p < 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  with  $i \neq j$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number. Let  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a non-degenerate recurrence sequence of integers having a  $p$ -adic dominant root. Let  $S$  be a finite, non-empty set of prime numbers. Then, there exist positive numbers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , depending only on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and  $S$ , such that*

$$[u_n]_S \leq |u_n|^{1-c_1},$$

for every  $n \geq c_2$ . Furthermore, for every positive real number  $\varepsilon$ , there exists an effectively computable integer  $c_3$ , depending only on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$P[u_n] > (1 - \varepsilon) \log n \frac{\log \log n}{\log \log \log n}, \quad \text{for } n > c_3.$$

The last statement of Theorem 1.4 seems to be new.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 allows us to establish the following statement.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\theta > 1$  be a real algebraic number such that all of its Galois conjugates are less than  $\theta$  in modulus. Let  $\lambda$  be a non-zero real algebraic number. Let  $S$  be a finite set of prime numbers. If  $\theta^\ell \notin \mathbf{Z}$  for every integer  $\ell \geq 1$ , then there exist effectively computable positive numbers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , depending only on  $\lambda$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $S$ , such that*

$$[\lfloor \lambda \theta^n \rfloor]_S \leq |\lambda \theta^n|^{1-c_1}, \quad \text{for every } n \geq c_2.$$

Theorem 1.5 applies to the sequence of integer parts of  $(3/2)^n$ . Lower bounds for the greatest prime factor of  $\lfloor \theta^n \rfloor$ , where  $\theta > 1$  is an algebraic number such that  $\theta^\ell$  is not an

integer for every integer  $\ell \geq 1$ , have been obtained by Luca and Mignotte [5]. They are similar to (1.3).

It would be interesting to see under which assumption on the algebraic numbers  $\lambda$  and  $\theta$  we get

$$[[\lambda\theta^n]]_S \leq |\lambda\theta^n|^\varepsilon,$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every sufficiently large integer  $n$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Recall that we have set  $K := \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t)$ . Denote by  $M_K$  the set of places of  $K$ . For  $v$  in  $M_K$ , we choose a normalized absolute value  $|\cdot|_v$  such that if  $v$  is an infinite place, then

$$|x|_v = |x|^{[K_v:\mathbf{R}]/[K:\mathbf{Q}]}, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{Q},$$

while if  $v$  is finite and lies above the prime  $p$ , then

$$|x|_v = |x|_p^{[K_v:\mathbf{Q}_p]/[K:\mathbf{Q}]}, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{Q}.$$

These absolute values satisfy the product formula

$$\prod_{v \in M_K} |x|_v = 1, \quad \text{for every non-zero } x \in K.$$

Moreover, if  $x \in \mathbf{Q}$ , then  $\prod_{v|\infty} |x|_v = |x|$  and  $\prod_{v|p} |x|_v = |x|_p$ , where the products are taken over all infinite places of  $K$ , respectively all places of  $K$  lying above the prime number  $p$ .

Let  $T$  be a finite set of places of  $K$ , containing all infinite places. Define the ring of  $T$ -integers and the group of  $T$ -units of  $K$  by

$$O_T := \{x \in K : |x|_v \leq 1 \text{ for } v \in M_K \setminus T\},$$

$$O_T^* := \{x \in K : |x|_v = 1 \text{ for } v \in M_K \setminus T\},$$

respectively. Further define

$$H_T(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \prod_{v \in T} \max\{|x_1|_v, \dots, |x_n|_v\}, \quad \text{for } x_1, \dots, x_n \in O_T.$$

Our main tool is the following result, which is Proposition 6.2.1 of [3] and which is essentially the same as Theorem 2 of [2].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $U$  be a subset of  $T$ ,  $t \geq 2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for all  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in O_T$  such that every non-empty subsum of  $x_1 + \dots + x_t$  is non-zero, we have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^t \prod_{v \in T} |x_i|_v \cdot \prod_{v \in U} |x_1 + \dots + x_t|_v \gg \prod_{v \in U} \max\{|x_1|_v, \dots, |x_t|_v\} \cdot H_T(x_1, \dots, x_t)^{-\varepsilon},$$

where the implied constant depends on  $K, T, t$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

The proof of this result depends on the  $p$ -adic Schmidt Subspace Theorem, therefore the implied constant is ineffective.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We introduce the following notation. First, by  $O(1)$  we denote constants depending on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and  $S$ . Second, we choose a real number  $\varepsilon' > 0$  which will later be taken sufficiently small in terms of  $\varepsilon$ ; then constants implied by the Vinogradov symbols  $\ll, \gg$  will depend on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $S$  and  $\varepsilon'$ . Lastly, we put

$$A := \max\{|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_t|\}, \quad A_p := \max\{|\alpha_1|_p, \dots, |\alpha_t|_p\} \quad \text{for } p \in S,$$

and

$$A_v := \max\{|\alpha_1|_v, \dots, |\alpha_t|_v\} \quad \text{for } v \in M_K.$$

Then by our choice of the absolute values on  $K$ , we have

$$\prod_{v|\infty} A_v = A, \quad \prod_{v|p} A_v = A_p \quad \text{for } p \in S.$$

We choose a finite set of places  $T$  of  $K$ , containing all infinite places and all places lying above the primes in  $S$ , such that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in O_T^*$  and the coefficients of  $f_1(X), \dots, f_t(X)$  are in  $O_T$ . Let for the moment  $U$  be any subset of  $T$ . Each subsum of  $u_n = \sum_{i=1}^t f_i(n)\alpha_i^n$  is a non-degenerate linear recurrence sequence of algebraic numbers in  $K$ . So by the Skolem-Mahler-Lech Theorem, there are only finitely many non-negative integers  $n$  for which at least one of the subsums of  $u_n$  vanishes. Then by Proposition 2.1 we have for the remaining positive integers  $n$ ,

$$\prod_{i=1}^t \prod_{v \in T} |f_i(n)\alpha_i^n|_v \cdot \prod_{v \in U} |u_n|_v \gg \prod_{v \in U} \max_{1 \leq i \leq t} |f_i(n)\alpha_i^n|_v \cdot \left( \prod_{v \in T} \max_{1 \leq i \leq t} |f_i(n)\alpha_i^n|_v \right)^{-\varepsilon'/2}.$$

Since  $\prod_{v \in T} |\alpha_i|_v = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , the left-hand side of this inequality is

$$\ll (2n)^{O(1)} \prod_{v \in U} |u_n|_v,$$

while the right-hand side is

$$\gg (2n)^{-O(1)} \left( \prod_{v \in U} A_v \right)^n \cdot \left( \prod_{v \in T} A_v \right)^{-n\varepsilon'/2} \gg (2n)^{-O(1)} \left( \prod_{v \in U} A_v \right)^n \cdot A^{-n\varepsilon'/2},$$

where we have used  $A_v \leq 1$  if  $v$  is finite and  $\prod_{v|\infty} A_v = A$ . Thus,

$$\prod_{v \in U} |u_n|_v \gg (2n)^{-O(1)} \left( \prod_{v \in U} A_v \right)^n \cdot A^{-n\varepsilon'/2}.$$

On the other hand, we have a trivial upper bound

$$\prod_{v \in U} |u_n|_v \leq (2n)^{O(1)} \left( \prod_{v \in U} A_v \right)^n.$$

Since  $A > 1$ , this implies that for every sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$\left( \prod_{v \in U} A_v \right)^n \cdot A^{-n\varepsilon'} \leq \prod_{v \in U} |u_n|_v \leq \left( \prod_{v \in U} A_v \right)^n \cdot A^{n\varepsilon'}.$$

We apply this with two choices of  $U$ . First let  $U$  consist of the infinite places of  $K$ . Then,

$$\prod_{v \in U} |u_n|_v = |u_n| \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{v \in U} A_v = A,$$

implying that for all sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$A^{n(1-\varepsilon')} \leq |u_n| \leq A^{n(1+\varepsilon')}.$$

Next, let  $U$  consist of the places of  $K$  lying above the primes in  $S$ . Then

$$\prod_{v \in U} |u_n|_v = \prod_{p \in S} |u_n|_p = [u_n]_S^{-1}$$

and

$$\prod_{v \in U} A_v = \prod_{p \in S} A_p = A^{-\delta},$$

hence

$$A^{n(\delta-\varepsilon')} \leq [u_n]_S \leq A^{n(\delta+\varepsilon')}$$

for every sufficiently large  $n$ . By taking  $\varepsilon'$  sufficiently small in terms of  $\varepsilon$ , Theorem 1.1 easily follows.  $\square$

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

As usual,  $h(\alpha)$  denotes the (logarithmic) Weil height of the algebraic number  $\alpha$ .

Our first auxiliary result is an immediate corollary of a theorem of Matveev [8].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer, let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be non-zero algebraic numbers and let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  be integers. Further, let  $D$  be the degree over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of a number field containing the  $\alpha_i$ , and let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be real numbers with*

$$\log A_i \geq \max \left\{ h(\alpha_i), \frac{|\log \alpha_i|}{D}, \frac{0.16}{D} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Set

$$B := \max \left\{ 1, \max \left\{ |b_j| \frac{\log A_j}{\log A_n} : 1 \leq j \leq n \right\} \right\}.$$

Then, we have

$$\log |\alpha_1^{b_1} \dots \alpha_n^{b_n} - 1| > -4 \times 30^{n+4} (n+1)^{5.5} D^{n+2} \log(eD) \log(enB) \log A_1 \dots \log A_n.$$

The key point for our main theorem is the factor  $\log A_n$  in the denominator in the definition of  $B$ .

Our second auxiliary result is extracted from [16].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $K$  an algebraic number field of degree  $D$  and  $|\cdot|_p$  an absolute value on  $K$  with  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$ . Further, let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be elements of  $K$ , and let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be real numbers with

$$\log A_i \geq \max\left\{h(\alpha_i), \frac{1}{16e^2 D^2}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  denote nonzero rational integers and let  $B$  and  $B_n$  be real numbers such that

$$B \geq \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_n|, 3\} \quad \text{and} \quad B \geq B_n \geq |b_n|.$$

Assume that

$$|b_n|_p \geq |b_j|_p, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $\delta$  be a real number with  $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$ . With the above notation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log |\alpha_1^{b_1} \dots \alpha_n^{b_n} - 1|_p &> -(16eD)^{2(n+1)} n^{3/2} (\log(2nD))^2 D^n \frac{p^D}{\log p} \times \\ &\times \max\left\{(\log A_1) \dots (\log A_n)(\log T), \frac{\delta B}{B_n c_0(n, D)}\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T = B_n \delta^{-1} c_1(n, D) p^{(n+1)D} (\log A_1) \dots (\log A_{n-1})$$

and

$$c_0(n, D) = (2D)^{2n+1} \log(2D) \log^3(3D), \quad c_1(n, D) = 2e^{(n+1)(6n+5)} D^{3n} \log(2D).$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We establish a slightly more general result.

We consider a sequence of integers  $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with the property that there are  $\theta$  in  $(0, 1)$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$|v_n - f(n)\alpha^n| \leq C |\alpha|^{\theta n}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $f(X)$  is a non-zero polynomial whose coefficients are algebraic numbers and  $\alpha$  is an algebraic number with  $|\alpha| > 1$ . Clearly, a recurrence sequence having a dominant root  $\alpha$  has the above property. We prove a similar statement as Theorem 1.2 for the sequence  $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ .

The constants  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  below are positive, effectively computable and depend at most on  $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ . The constants  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  below are positive, effectively computable and absolute.

Let  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$  be distinct prime numbers written in increasing order. Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $f(n)v_n$  is non-zero and  $v_n \neq f(n)\alpha^n$ . There exist non-negative integers  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  and a non-zero integer  $M$  coprime with  $q_1 \dots q_s$  such that

$$v_n = q_1^{r_1} \dots q_s^{r_s} M.$$



Observe that there exist positive real numbers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$r_j \log q_j \leq c_1 n, \quad j = 1, \dots, s, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\Lambda := |q_1^{r_1} \cdots q_s^{r_s} (M f(n)^{-1}) \alpha^{-n} - 1| \leq c_2 |f(n)|^{-1} |\alpha|^{(\theta-1)n}.$$

Since  $\theta < 1$ , we get by (3.1) that

$$\log |\Lambda| \leq -c_3 n.$$

Setting

$$Q := (\log q_1) \cdots (\log q_s) \quad \text{and} \quad \log A := \max\{h(M f(n)^{-1}), 2\},$$

Theorem 3.1 and (3.2) imply that

$$\log |\Lambda| \geq -c_4 C_1^s Q (\log A) \log \frac{n}{\log A}.$$

Comparing both estimates, we obtain that

$$n \leq c_5 C_2^s Q (\log Q) (\log A). \quad (3.3)$$

Observe that

$$\log A \leq \log |M| + c_6 \log n.$$

We distinguish two cases.

If  $|M| \geq n^{c_6}$ , then  $A \leq M^2$ , and, by (3.3),

$$n \leq c_7 C_2^s Q (\log Q) (\log |M|).$$

We derive that

$$\frac{|v_n|}{[v_n]_S} = |M| \geq 2^{c_8 n (C_2^s Q (\log Q))^{-1}} \geq |v_n|^{c_9 (C_2^s Q (\log Q))^{-1}},$$

since  $|v_m| \leq |\alpha_1|^{2m}$  for  $m$  sufficiently large.

If  $|M| < n^{c_6}$ , then  $\log A \leq 2c_6 \log n$  and, by (3.3),

$$n \leq c_{10} C_2^s Q (\log Q) (\log n),$$

thus,

$$n \leq c_{11} C_3^s Q (\log Q)^2. \quad (3.4)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**Remark.** Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive real number. In the particular case where  $M = \pm 1$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_s$  are the first  $s$  prime numbers  $p_1, \dots, p_s$ , we get from (3.4) and the Prime Number Theorem that

$$\log n \leq c_{12} + sC_4 + (1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{k=1}^s \log \log p_k \leq (1 + 2\varepsilon)p_s \frac{\log \log p_s}{\log p_s},$$

if  $n$  is sufficiently large in terms of  $\varepsilon$ . This gives

$$P[v_n] \geq (1 - 3\varepsilon) \log n \frac{\log \log n}{\log \log \log n},$$

if  $n$  is sufficiently large in terms of  $\varepsilon$ , and we recover Stewart's result (1.3).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* The constants  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  below are positive, effectively computable and depend at most on  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ .

Let  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$  be distinct prime numbers written in increasing order. Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. There exist non-negative integers  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  and a non-zero integer  $M$  coprime with  $q_1 \dots q_s$  such that

$$u_n = q_1^{r_1} \dots q_s^{r_s} M.$$

It follows from inequality (20) of [12] that for  $i = 1, \dots, s$  we have

$$r_i \leq c_1 \frac{q_i^2}{\log q_i} (\log n)^2.$$

By Lemma 6 of [12], we get

$$\log |u_n| > c_2 n.$$

Consequently, setting

$$Q := \sum_{i=1}^s q_i^2,$$

we obtain

$$c_2 n < \log |M| + c_1 Q (\log n)^2.$$

We distinguish two cases.

If  $\log |M| > c_1 Q (\log n)^2$ , then  $c_2 n < 2 \log |M|$ , thus

$$|M| > |u_n|^{c_3}.$$

If  $\log |M| \leq c_1 Q (\log n)^2$ , then

$$n < c_4 Q (\log n)^2,$$

and  $n$  is bounded in terms of  $a, b, \alpha, \beta$ , and  $S$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* We establish a slightly more general result. Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $K$  a number field and  $|\cdot|_p$  an absolute value on  $K$  with  $|p|_p = p^{-1}$ . We consider a sequence of integers  $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with the property that there are  $\theta$  in  $(0, 1)$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$|v_n - f(n)\alpha^n|_p \leq C p^{-\theta n}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $f(X)$  is a non-zero polynomial with coefficients in  $K$  and  $\alpha$  an element of  $K$  with  $|\alpha|_p = 1$ . We also assume that there exist  $\beta > 1$  and  $n_0$  such that

$$v_n \neq f(n)\alpha^n, \quad |v_n| < \beta^n, \quad \text{for every integer } n > n_0. \quad (3.6)$$

Clearly, every non-degenerate recurrence sequence having a  $p$ -adic dominant root satisfies both properties. We prove a statement analogous to Theorem 1.4 for the sequence  $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ .

Let  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$  be distinct prime numbers written in increasing order. Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer such that  $f(n)v_n$  is non-zero and  $v_n \neq f(n)\alpha^n$ . There exist non-negative integers  $r_1, \dots, r_s$  and a non-zero integer  $M$  coprime with  $q_1 \dots q_s$  such that

$$v_n = q_1^{r_1} \dots q_s^{r_s} M.$$

The constants  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  below are positive, effectively computable and depend at most on  $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$  and  $p$ . The constants  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  below are positive, effectively computable and absolute.

Consider the quantity

$$\Lambda := q_1^{r_1} \dots q_s^{r_s} (M f(n)^{-1}) \alpha^{-n} - 1$$

and observe that, by (3.5), we have

$$\log |\Lambda|_p < -c_1 n.$$

Set

$$Q := (\log q_1) \dots (\log q_s) \quad \text{and} \quad \log A := \max\{h(M f(n)^{-1}), 2\}.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.2 applied with

$$B := \max\{r_1, \dots, r_s, n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \frac{Q(\log A)}{B}$$

that

$$B < 2Q \log A, \quad \text{if } \delta > 1/2, \quad (3.7)$$

and, otherwise,

$$\log |\Lambda|_p > -c_1 C_1^s Q(\log A) \max\left\{\log\left(\frac{B}{\log A}\right), 1\right\}. \quad (3.8)$$

If (3.7) holds, then we have

$$n \leq B < 2Q \log A. \quad (3.9)$$

If (3.8) holds, then, using

$$B \leq c_3 n,$$

which follows from (3.6), we obtain an upper bound similar to (3.3), namely

$$n \leq c_4 C_2^s Q(\log Q)(\log A). \quad (3.10)$$

In view of (3.9) and (3.10), we conclude as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. The last statement of the theorem corresponds to the remark following the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Y. Bugeaud, J.-H. Evertse, and K. Györy, *S-parts of values of polynomials and of decomposable forms at integral points*. Preprint.
- [2] J.-H. Evertse, *On sums of S-units and linear recurrences*, Compos. Math. 53 (1984), 225–244.
- [3] J.-H. Evertse and K. Györy, *Unit Equations in Diophantine Number Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [4] S. S. Gross and A. F. Vincent, *On the factorization of  $f(n)$  for  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[x]$* , Int. J. Number Theory 9 (2013), 1225–1236.
- [5] F. Luca and M. Mignotte, *Arithmetic properties of the integer part of the powers of an algebraic number*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 44 (2009), 285–307.
- [6] K. Mahler, *Eine arithmetische Eigenschaft der rekurrerenden Reihen*, Mathematica (Zutphen) 3 (1934), 153–156.
- [7] K. Mahler, *A remark on recursive sequences*, J. Math. Sci. Delhi 1 (1966), 12–17.
- [8] E. M. Matveev, *An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in logarithms of algebraic numbers. II*, Izv. Ross. Acad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 64 (2000), 125–180 (in Russian); English translation in Izv. Math. 64 (2000), 1217–1269.
- [9] A. J. van der Poorten and H. P. Schlickewei, *The growth condition for recurrence sequences*, Macquarie University Math. Rep. 82-0041 (1982).
- [10] D. Ridout, *The p-adic generalization of the Thue-Siegel-Roth theorem*, Mathematika 5 (1958), 40–48.
- [11] T. N. Shorey and R. Tijdeman, *Exponential Diophantine equations*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 87. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [12] C. L. Stewart, *On divisors of terms of linear recurrence sequences*, J. reine angew. Math. 333 (1982), 12–31.
- [13] C. L. Stewart, *On the greatest square-free factor of terms of a linear recurrence sequence*. In: Diophantine equations, 257–264, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., 20, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2008.

- [14] C. L. Stewart, *On divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers*, Acta Math. 211 (2013), 291–314.
- [15] C. L. Stewart, *On prime factors of terms of linear recurrence sequences*. In: Number theory and related fields, 341–359, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 43, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [16] K. Yu, *p-adic logarithmic forms and group varieties. III*, Forum Math. 19 (2007), 187–280.

Yann Bugeaud  
 Université de Strasbourg, CNRS  
 IRMA UMR 7501  
 7, rue René Descartes  
 67000 STRASBOURG (FRANCE)  
 bugeaud@math.u-strasbg.fr

Jan-Hendrik Evertse  
 Universiteit Leiden  
 Mathematisch Instituut  
 Postbus 9512  
 2300 RA LEIDEN (THE NETHERLANDS)  
 evertse@math.leidenuniv.nl